**Reaction Paper #4**

**Prompt:**

 Jonathan Safran Foer, in his book *Eating Animals*, poses a series of questions meant to cause his audience to reflect on what it might take to change the minds of consumers. He asks:

Just how destructive does a culinary preference have to be before we decide to eat something else? If contributing to the suffering of billions of animals that live miserable lives and (quite often) die in horrific ways isn’t motivating, what would be? If being the number one contributor to the most serious threat facing the planet (global warming) isn’t enough, what is? And if you are tempted to put off these questions of conscience, to say *not now*, then *when*? (243)

**Requirements:**

For this reaction, you will write a 1.5-2 page response to one or more of Foer’s questions. In other words, you will write a short essay that argues for what might change people’s minds about factory farming or meat consumption or explores the reasons why people are not likely to change their ways. You may also choose to write this response from your own personal experience. What would it take FOR YOU to change your behaviors or beliefs?

**The Reaction Must:**

-Be in MLA format

-Be a minimum of 1.5-2 pages long

-Contain a Works Cited page (this page is not counted in the two page length requirement)

-Refer directly to Foer’s article or any other source we have read or watched that helps you address his questions

**Due Date:**

Friday, October 28, 2016