## General characteristics by letter grade of university-level student papers

	General characteristics by fetter grade of university level student papers				
	The A Paper	The B Paper	The C paper	The D Paper	The F Paper
Ideas	Excels in responding to assignment. Interesting. Demonstrates sophistication of thought. Central idea/thesis clearly communicated, worth developing; limited enough to be manageable. Paper recognizes some complexity of its thesis: may acknowledge its contradictions, qualifications, or limits and follow out their logical implications. Understands and critically evaluates its sources, appropriately limits and defines terms.	clearly stated thesis or idea, but may have minor lapses in development. Begins to acknowledge the complexity of central idea and the possibility of other points of view. Shows careful reading of sources, but may not evaluate them critically. Attempts to define terms, not always successfully.	less well to assignment. Presents central idea in general terms, often depending on platitudes or cliches. Usually does not acknowledge other views. Shows basic comprehension of sources, perhaps with some lapses in understanding. If it defines terms, often depends on dictionary definitions.	Does not have a clear central idea or does not respond appropriately to the assignment. Thesis may be too vague or obvious to be developed effectively. Paper may misunderstand sources.	lacks a thesis or central idea, and may neglect to use sources where necessary.
Organization and Coherence	Uses a logical structure appropriate to paper's audience, thesis, and disciplinary field. Sophisticated transitional sentences often develop one idea from the previous one or identify their logical relations. It guides the reader through the chain of reasoning or profession of ideas.	Shows a logical progression of ideas and uses fairly sophisticated transitional devices; eg., may move from least to most important idea. Some logical links may be faulty, but each ¶ clearly relates to paper's central idea.	evident logical structure. May lack transitions or bridges. May use transitions, but they are likely to be sequential (first, second,	lacking internal ¶ coherence and using few or inappropriate transitions. ¶s may lack topic sentences or main ideas, or may be too general or too specific to be effective. ¶s may not all relate to paper's thesis.	
Support	Uses evidence appropriately and effectively, providing sufficient evidence and explanation to convince.	Begins to offer reasons to support its points, perhaps using varied kinds of evidence. Begins to interpret the evidence and explain connections between evidence and main ideas. Its examples bear some relevance.	relevant. Often depends on	overgeneralizations for support, or offers little evidence of any kind. May be personal narrative rather than essay, or summary rather than analysis.	Uses irrelevant details or lacks supporting evidence entirely. May be unduly brief.
Style. (How does it sound read aloud?)	Chooses words for their precise meanings, and uses an appropriate level of specificity. Sentence style fits paper's audience and purpose. Sentences are varied, yet clearly structured and carefully focused, not long and rambling.		Uses relatively vague and general words. May use some inappropriate language. Sentence structure generally correct, but sentences may be wordy, unfocused, repetitive, or confusing.	May be vague and abstract, or very personal and specific. Usually contains several awkward or ungrammatical sentences; sentence structure is simple or monotonous.	Usually contains many awkward sentences, misuses words, employs linappropriate language.
Spelling, Punctuation, Format (How does it look?)	Almost entirely free of spelling, punctuation, and grammatical errors. Mastery of MLA format, citation, Works Cited.	May contain a few errors, which may annoy the reader but not impede understanding. May have a few minor errors in MLA format, citation, Works Cited.	Usually contains several mechanical errors, which may	Usually contains either many mechanical errors or a few important errors that block the reader's understanding and ability to see connections between thoughts. Major flaws in MLA format, citation, Works Cited.	Usually contains so many mechanical errors that it is impossible for the reader to follow the thinking from sentence to sentence. Displays little or no adherence to MLA format.